UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,834	10/25/2005	Peter Brodin	1544-1003	8974
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 06/08/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		FRECH, KARL D		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2887	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/534,834	BRODIN, PETER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karl D. Frech	2887	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under N	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 17-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13,17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/534,834

Art Unit: 2887

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration filed 3/24/09 has been entered.

- 2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

4. Claims 1-13,17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marcus 6,354,494 in view of Baratelli 6,325,285. Marcus discloses an identification card. It is disclosed in col 3 line 66- col 4 line 3 that a person is scanned to produce a signal representative of an image of the person; col 4 line 3 discloses the signal is converted into a digital representation; col 4 lines 42-49 disclose that the digital image is converted to a PDF 417 bar code; col 4 line 50-54 disclose that this bar coded digital representation of the person is printed on the card; col 4 line 60-65 discloses that the persons image is also printed on the card. Although not specifically disclosed that Marcus images/digitizes a retinal scan, Marcus does contemplate retinal scans in col 1 lines 50-55. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a retinal scan on the card of Marcus. As disclosed by Marcus, this would be advantageous in areas of high security. Marcus does not disclose the fingerprint scan. Although Marcus does contemplate the fingerprint scan in col 1 line 50-55, Baratelli 6,325,285 discloses a fingerprint scan in a security card. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/534,834 Page 3

Art Unit: 2887

invention to include a fingerprint scan on the card of Marcus. Again, as disclosed by Marcus, this would be advantageous in areas of high security.

5. Applicant's arguments filed in response to the previous non-final rejection have been addressed in the previously issued final rejection. The finality of the previously issued final rejection has been withdrawn. This current non-final rejection reflects that previous final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose email address is karl.frech@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by email are unsuccessful, the examiner's telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If ALL attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Paik can be reached on (571) 272-2404. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/534,834

Art Unit: 2887

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Karl D Frech/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2887

Page 4

6.